

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,086	04/09/2004	Kenichi Hayashi	SANKY P-250 / 500615.2022	2190
26418	7590 12/13/2006	·	EXAMINER	
REED SMI	ITH, LLP ENT RECORDS DEPAR	PSITOS, ARISTOTELIS M		
	GTON AVENUE, 29TH F	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650			2627	
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,	Appli	ication No.	Applicant(s)	<u> '''</u>		
Office Action Occ		10/8	22,086	HAYASHI, KENI	HAYASHI, KENICHI		
Office Action Summary			niner	Art Unit			
			otelis M. Psitos	2627			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	nddress		
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRY BY THE MINISTRY BY THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE O s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUN no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Mine application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>01 April 200</i>	04.		-		
2a)□	,	2b) ☐ This action			• *		
3)	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	·	·		·		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the	application.					
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	n requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted	or b) 🔲 objected t	o by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawing	g(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is re	equired if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examine	r. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form F	PTO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority			• •			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	, -		en received in this Nationa	al Stage		
* /	application from the Internation	•	• • •	- 4 5d			
* \	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the	certified copies no	ot received.			
A 44- 1	w->						
Attachmen	et(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🖂 Intocio	v Summary (PTO-413)			
	æ of References Cited (P10-892) æ of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date	•		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)			f Informal Patent Application	•		
rape	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) [Other:	•			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-14, drawn to a dynamic optical recording/reproducing system, particulars of the optical elements, classified in class 369, subclass 112.03.
 - II. Claims 15-17, drawn to an optical element/particular lens –diffractive with non-uniform widths/spacings, classified in class 359, subclass 575.
- III. Claims 18-22, drawn to manufacturing methods, classified in class 264, subclass 1.1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the particulars of the holographic lens composition as defined by the claims of the second group are not found in the first group. The subcombination has separate utility such as holographic card reader –static system.

Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case ablative processes – i.e., etching a blank element.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions.

Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any

Art Unit: 2627

claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions the method of manufacturing has no bearing on the elements in the optical system for patentability.

- Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there 2. would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Due to the divergence of the claimed subject matter, no telephone call was made to applicants in 3. order to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is given ONE month within which to respond to the above requirement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aristotelis M. Psitos whose telephone number is (571) 272-7594. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 6:00 - 2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Dwayne D. Bost can be reached on (571) 272-7023. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Aristotelis M Psitos Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627

AMP